

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV ARMS COMPLAINT N		
AIRS ID#: 0251096 DA	TE: <u>2/10/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:50 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>9:40 AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: SC	I HOMESTEAD CREMATORY			
FACILITY LOCATION	809 N. Krome Ave.			
	HOMESTEAD 33030			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	D REPRESENTATIVE: DEB DD: 6/5/2008 / 6/4/2013 (effective date) (end date)	ORAH MCCARTNEY Mobil PHON Mobil	IE:	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)				
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COMP		ANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
	RODUCTORY MEETING presentative(s): Paul Baushke		(check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
2. Is the Authorized Repu If no, who is?:	resentative still DEBORAH MCC	CARTNEY?	YesNo	
	ility provide an administrative up till ?			
4. Will facility be conduc	cting VE test(s) during today's ins ance authority notified at least 15	spection? days in advance?	XesNo XesNo YesNo	

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 – Two (2) IEE Md# Power-Pak II human crematory incinerators</u>

PA	ART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	🛛 Yes	No
3.	 b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit? Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007? Date of last inspection: 2/11/2010 	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No ⊠No
4.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		□No □No
	operation? \boxtimes N/A d. Date of last VE test: $2/11/10$	Yes	No
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?If no, what was the problem (if known)?		□No □No
PA	ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- 🛛 Yes	□No □No □No
	 c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?)No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver? b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.	- 🛛 Yes - 🖾 Yes	⊠No □No □No
3	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
5.	If yes, what reason?	Yes	⊠No
PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:Downwind odor level detected-0Wind direction -Upwind odor level detected-0Upwind odor level detected-0		XNo
		10)	
	Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the		
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	- 🛛 Yes	No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $1,800^1$ $1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	Yes	No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
	1) All temperature measurements	🛛 Yes	No
	 all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	 X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes 	No No No No No No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	Yes Yes	No
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	🖾No
	 (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement? (2) Is the system collibrated to metric any baseline in the mineral chamber of the system constitution. 	lly Ves	No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity ?	Yes	No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	No

PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES

(check \square only one box for each question)

1.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Yes b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremation	No
	process begins in the primary chamber? Yes	No
2.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Xes	No
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? Xes	No

PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>		(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	Yes	XNo
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		⊠No □No

 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? X YesNo Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? X YesNo Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? YesNo If no, skip a b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? YesNo Was the flame adjusted when necessary? YesNo 	PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>		only one question)
shutdown and malfunction? □ Yes No 3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? □ Yes No If no, skip a b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? □ Yes No	1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	Yes	No
If no, skip a. – b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? YesNo	2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	Xes	No
a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? [] Yes []No	•	- 🗌 Yes	DNo
	a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?	—	=

PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTIO</u>	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	$\mathbf{\nabla}$ only one box)
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes:		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	s or Yes	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊡No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

2/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

2/10/2011

COMMENTS: WILLIAM ARLINGTON FROM ARLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PERFORMED A ONE HOUR VISIBLE EMISSIONS (VE) TEST ON TWO (2) HUMAN CREMATORIES. THE VE TEST STARTED AT 8:36 AM. BOTH CREMATORIES SECONDARY CHAMBER TEMPERATURES WERE GREATER THAN 1600 DEGREES F. THERE WAS SOME SMOKE COMING OUT OF UNIT #2 DURING THE TEST. THE OPERATOR TOLD US THAT THERE WAS A PROBLEM WITH WITH AIR-TO-FUEL RATIO. THE PROBLEM WAS CORRECTED IN A FEW MINUTES. PAUL BAUSHKE, THE CREMATORY OPERATOR ATTENDED ME. THE HOUSEKEEPING IS GOOD. I DID NOT DETECT ANY OBJECTIONABLE ODORS AROUND THE FACILITY.